

Monday, April 8th 1991

Today's Topics:

Re: Rick Redux
Belgian Reports
Koa
Re: Bill Cooper
Re: Proof
Re: Thanks, Jerry!
Re: Thanks, Jerry!
Carp.UFO revisited
Re: Serios Business
Re: THEY'RE HERE!!!!
Re: (none)
THEY'RE HERE!!
Re: SS433
Re: SERIOS BUSINESS
Human visitors
Re: SERIOS BUSINESS
Re: Mail Problems
Re: A SETI Update
'The Search For Schroedinger's Cat'
Resonant Coil Implications?
Serios Business
Re: Thanks, Jerry!

From: Jim.Delton@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Delton)
Subject: Re: Rick Redux
Date: 6 Apr 91 03:03:00 GMT

Elaboration on Calvin. A string of buzzword that sounded good but meant nothing. Looses something in the translation.

--

Jim Delton - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Jim.Delton@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@f422.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: Belgian Reports
Date: 5 Apr 91 21:26:00 GMT

- > An interesting little tidbit from the International Literary Gazette
> (reprinted by UFONS) in an interview by Oleg Moroz of Ivan Tretyak, CIC

> USSR ADF.
>
> MOROZ. "The Belgian Air Force headquarters published some excerpts from
> a report which gave an account of the events that occurred on the night
> of March 30: It was at last officially confirmed that the mysterious
> black triangles that had for seven months recurrently appeared over
> Belgium were detected by military radars."
>
> TRETYAK. "I know about this publication. But the fact is that several
> days later it was refuted; there had been no detection by radars."
>
> Have we missed a followup somewhere? Throughout the interview, Tretyak
> seems to be a fairly straightforward guy.

I would rather doubt this, as I have talked directly to Richard Haines who is working directly with SOBEPS in Belgium. I also checked with him about the other Hard Copy allegations concerning the Stealth being identified as the triangular-shaped craft. This is erroneous also. At last check, the report coming from Col. DeBrouwer (sp?) was accurate, and the anomaly is still classified as unidentified or TRUF0. Any further information you might find on this publication may shed some light on this.

Mike

--

Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f422.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Michael.Corbin@f422.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Michael Corbin)
Subject: Koa
Date: 5 Apr 91 21:55:00 GMT

> How did the KOA appearance go?

Extremely well received! I was scheduled for one hour. At the end of the first hour the host says, "The lines are melting with people wanting to get on with you. Can you stay on into the next hour?" I was on for a total of 90 minutes, and out of all of the callers, there was only one who tried to crack a joke about the only saucers that she had seen were the ones her two kids through out the kitchen widow while doing dishes.

The caliber of calls was very high, and I found most people calling in to have had some type of sighting experience -- not the kook stuff -- and were genuinely interested in wanting to gain an understanding of what it might have been.

Mike

--

Michael Corbin - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Michael.Corbin@f422.n104.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Tyson.Mitchiner@f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Tyson Mitchiner)
Subject: Re: Bill Cooper
Date: 4 Apr 91 04:38:00 GMT

> > much information in or your brain will pop out"
> > concept.
> > Our mind supposedly has unlimited storage capacity.
>
> Yea Tyson, that may be, but still, that does not mean that
> you don't question, and research. Do you believe EVERYTHING
> you hear? If so, I got this really GREAT car that I am
> selling, and BOY* * * can YOU BUY it CHEAP. You send me a
> check, I send you the papers-----OK?

Well, I never stated that you disbelieve everything you hear.. I was just trying to point out the danger in using the "mind so open the brains flutter out" concept.. It gives an excuse to ignore possible information. I sound too serious sometimes, and I apologize if I seemed too vehement in my post.

My point isn't that you should believe or disbelieve anything. My point is that you should consider all sources of information.. and if there is not sufficient (or reasonable) proof to disbelieve it, then it shouldn't be dismissed totally. However, there are some claims that do not have to be researched into, for they seem to be a waste of time (like the aliens are "demons" type). I agree with you totally on that. I also never lead myself to believe any information if I do not have sufficient proof of it also.. I just consider it, and try to learn more information about it, and hopefully gain more proof for or against it.

Tyson

--

Tyson Mitchiner - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Tyson.Mitchiner@f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Don Ecker)

Subject: Re: Proof
Date: 4 Apr 91 16:23:00 GMT

Jim:

I will try once more.

First, you do not have to convince me that there are events happening with regard to unidentified flying somethings. I (once more with feeling) had a dramatic sighting in 1966. WHAT I DID SAY WAS THAT NEITHER YOU, ME, WENDELLE STEVENS, WHITLEY STRIEBER, BUDD HOPKINS, WM. MOORE, NOR ANYONE ELSE *** K N O W S *** where these damn things come from, and IF YOU DO, YOU BETTER CALL THE NATIONAL ENQUIRER, they will pay you a chunk of cash IF YOU CAN SHOW (get ready, here it comes) ***** P R O O F ***** of what they are and where they come from.

Ok, that is it, I said my piece and IT IS NOW BURIED.

DON

--

Don Ecker - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Don.Ecker@f3.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Jerry.Driscoll@p0.f30.n134.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Jerry Driscoll)
Subject: Re: Thanks, Jerry!
Date: 5 Apr 91 11:53:00 GMT

In a message to Jerry Driscoll <04-03-91 21:01> Clark Matthews wrote:

CM> I have just completed the migration to Imail 1.10 and am
CM> now experiencing no problems whatever. In fact, Imail
CM> managed to recover many, many bad messages and posted them
CM> correctly. It's an excellent program, extremely
CM> zone-smart, and it sets up with menus that are almost
CM> foolproof (I am living proof of the foolproofness).

I have heard about this Imail thingy... and I might take a look at it...

Zmail 1.14, and 1.13, for that matter, are supposed to have too many bugs, and alot of the Zmail users, including Joe Lindstrom, who is the Canadian Rep for Zmail, have gone back to Zmail 1.12... Joe is very unhappy with having to use Zmail 1.12... He is a very happy RA user, and is very unhappy with the Zmail 1.12 tearline [ZMailQ 1.13 (QuickBBS)]... :-)

Jerry

The book may be a hyped-up version of the original Nostradamus predictions, but there is definitely a correlation between it and CARP.UFO - and in fact, the author of that file might have been influenced by those predictions as opposed to reading some kind of 'secret' government documents.

I cannot comment on the alleged c/r of the alien starfighter.

However, mind manipulation via ELF EM pulses is possible. I have read about it somewhere else, and such technology can feasibly alter the subconscious and/or manipulate subliminally.

Finally, the Nostradamus predictions indicated a fall of Israel at the hands of the invading forces (also that Italy will be the first target in the European communities).

The problem I have with the way things are going is that it seems that the bible predictions were correct in that Babylon would fall at the hands of the forces of good (the U.S.?!) but if so then how can Israel fall?

In any case, we'll all know whether or not we're just wasting our time on Paranet discussing all of this stuff in about 10 years' time.

Within ten years, I should say.

From: Jim.Speiser@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)

Subject: Re: Serios Business

Date: 5 Apr 91 14:09:00 GMT

OK, Dan, you've convinced me. I shall look for the much-vaunted *second edition* of the World of Ted Serios.

In the meantime, I shall review Martin Gardner's "Science: Good, Bad and Bogus" and may excerpt a few things from it for your reading "pleasure." Mind you, I'm no great fan of Gardner's, and if I run something of his up the flagpole, its because I'm really hoping it can be shot down - personally I think the guy is the most pompous of the CSICOP hit-men. But I will be looking for bulls-eyes on Eisenbud's part.

Jim

--

Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Jim.Speiser@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)

Subject: Re: THEY'RE HERE!!!!

Date: 5 Apr 91 14:10:00 GMT

> They're Here!

And they look like the Michelin Tire Man!

--

Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:104/422

UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name

INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Jim.Speiser@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Jim Speiser)

Subject: Re: (none)

Date: 6 Apr 91 07:08:00 GMT

Scott:

I'm slowly getting more and more turned off by this case. Your message didn't help too much.

First of all, there's this:

> They include one fireman (one of several who saw it that day) and three
> civilians. These witnesses are not looking for publicity and will not
> let Gordon release their names to the media for fear of ridicule.

I am going to check this with Cindy Bowles, who helped produce the segment for Unsolved Mysteries, but I believe at least two of the firemen did use their real names on that segment. And they certainly didn't take great pains to disguise themselves on a national TV broadcast. Somehow I think the people of Kecksburg, the people who are most apt to ridicule them, know who they are.

> Never mind that scientist Ivan T. Sanderson traced the flight >
pattern of the UFO and discovered it made a controlled 25-degree turn in
> Ohio and headed for Pennsylvania (Fate Magazine, arch 1966). Not a >
typical movement of a satellite crashing back to Earth.

As I understand it, (and PLEASE correct me if I'm wrong), such calculations are made from a composite of eyewitness accounts. I'm sorry, but I don't see how a conclusion can be drawn from a bunch of people saying, "it went that-a-way." The margin of error for such observations would be right around 25 degrees, I think.

> so states before crash-landing. But he failed to mention that Sanderson
> estimated the object's speed at 1062.5 miles per hour. PASU did a

Do you have any information on just how Sanderson was able to arrive at this "estimate"? Did he aim a radar gun at the thing or what?

> concluded that at most the object was moving at a speed of 5257 miles
> per hour. Neither estimate comes anywhere near the minimum speed for a
> meteor which is approximately 27,000 miles per hour. Witnesses to the

Where does that figure come from? I'm not challenging it, I'm genuinely curious if that's an accurate figure - if so, it impacts some other famous cases. If not...then of course it doesn't belong here. (I wish I had been paying attention in Meteoritics 101!)

> Anyhow, why would numerous local fire companies, the
> Pennsylvania State Police, the U.S. Air Force, the 662nd Radar Squadron,
> and various other military officials gravitate to the village of
> Kecksburg to recover a rock from space? What happened to this alleged
> meteor? Why did it have to be removed that night? And why all the
> secrecy?

I remember seeing a bright bolide around 1966. My parents and I (I was 10) were coming out of Manero's restaurant in Old Greenwich, CT, when my mother looked up and froze. I followed her gaze and saw a multi-colored object arcing down towards the earth, breaking up as it fell. My mother immediately said, "It's a UFO!" I calmly replied, "No, Mom, it's a meteor." (At 10, I was probably the youngest subscriber to NICAP's UFO Investigator!) As we drove home, we were passed by various fire and emergency vehicles, headed north towards the apparent ground zero. It looked very much as if the object had fallen on North Greenwich. Turned out later, it had fallen somewhere near the Canadian border, 600 miles north. This is a common occurrence with bolide sightings - they often do seem to be headed towards your backyard.

> was stationed at Lockborne Air Base near Columbus, Ohio, who claims the
> base was put on 'red alert' during the early morning hours of December

Can't this be verified somehow?

> Several days later, a witness reported seeing the object at
> Wright-Patterson. Reporter Sharon Santus writing in the Greensburg
> Tribune-Review (Dec. 9, 1990) writes:

As Young says, where WERE these people in 1965?

+ Another witness, Ohio truck driver John Cummings (not his real name),
+ said he actually saw the object inside a building at Wright-Patterson
+ on Dec. 12, 1965, just three days after the alleged landing.

+Cummings, who made deliveries for a Dayton-area building-supply company,
+said a high-ranking military officer arrived at the firm on Dec. 11,
+1965, and ordered a special radiation-, moisture-resistant brick for
+construction of a protective room inside a building at Wright-Patterson.

+

+Cummings said he and a cousin delivered 6,500 bricks to Wright-Patterson
+the next day after being instructed by their boss not to discuss
+anything they might observe at the compound.

+

+'We were unloading the bricks onto pallets and me and my cousin decided


```
+to sneak inside to see what all the secrecy was about,' Cummings said.  
+'Guards immediately ordered us out ... but not before we saw it.'
```

Come on, Scott. An anonymous truck driver and his cousin claim to have snuck into see a recovery operation shrouded in the secrecy of "the most highly classified project in the US - higher than the H-bomb" (Wilbur Smith). You're buying this? I've read your work in the past, you're a more responsible researcher than that.

So what do we have? A few scattered eyewitness reports, dubious measurements by a scientist of dubious credentials (isn't Sanderson the guy who claims to have seen dinosaurs and giant bats in Africa?), a truck driver and his cousin saying, "yeah, we seen it too", and a couple of firemen who try to protect their identity by going full-face on national TV. I was impressed with this case before, and I am still impressed with the quality of work Stan Gordon has done in general, but I hope you guys can put up a better defense to Young's article than the above.

In the spirit of constructive debate,
Jim Speiser

```
--
Jim Speiser - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Jim.Speiser@paranet.FIDONET.ORG
```

From: Steve.Rose@p1.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Steve Rose)
Subject: THEY'RE HERE!!
Date: 6 Apr 91 04:38:00 GMT

In a message to All <04 Apr 91 14:52> well.sf.ca.us!ddrasin wrote:

```
we>                                     \..../
we>                                     =00=
we>                                     m  \|  w
we>                                     \(_)_/
we> _____ ^(_)^
we> ~~~~~\    ^(_)^
we>          \|_#_____ _/_\_
we>          ~~~~~\_____|_____|_____
we>
```

Your rendition of 'Teenage Mutant Ninja Ants'?

--

Steve Rose - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Steve.Rose@p1.f134.n109.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Pete Porro)
Subject: Re: SS433
Date: 5 Apr 91 16:44:00 GMT

Really I wanted to say I dug out something which may quell some of the mystery on SS433. I'm not big on this so please excuse inadvertant errors and my lousy spelling.

Sanduleak-Stephenson 433:
From Science News Vol 117, page 140.

"The facts are that a compact radio source was found. It's position agrees with the optical position to within 1/100 of a second of arc. For the record that position is right ascension 19 hours 9 minutes 21.29 seconds, declination +4 (degrees) 53' 54" .07. The radio output varies on a cycle of 6.6 days, something not explained in the models for its optical behavior."

"SS433 has three sets of emission lines. One of them stays still in apparent wavelengths, as the emission spectrum of an ordinary star should. Two of them gradually change, shifting redwards, then bluewards, then back again."

I won't take more time on this, but it appears that reptiles from outer space are not coming to take over Earth, and SS433-W50 is interesting and possibly something new that we have not observed before. The article mentions that variations in redshifts produce two symmetrically opposed cycles. I'm sure there is more current study information, but I just happened across this and thought it might be of interest.

From: GREGG GILLIS

The object called SS433 is a small stellar object located approximately 18,000 light years in distance from our Solar System. It is surrounded by a supernova remnant named W50 (R.A. 19h 09m 20s and Dec. 4 degrees 55'). It is suggested that SS433 might be a candidate for a 'black hole' with an accretion disk orbited by another star that is feeding the black hole. In any case, SS433 is emitting two jets of gas... which is yielding a curious spectral signature (red shift/blue shifted lines at the same time). This object emits hard X-rays and strong radio waves... both very common for this type of stellar object.

Sources: The Invisible Universe Revealed, by Gerrit L. Verschuur.
pp 174-185. ISBN: 3-540-96280-8.

'Accretion-Driven Stellar X-ray Sources', from the Cambridge
AstroPhysics Series, by Dr. P. J. Van den Heuvel.

pp 289-301. Chapter 7 "SS 433" by Bruce Margon, Astronomy Department, Univ. Washington. ISBN: 0-521-24521-4.

'The X-Ray Universe', by Tucker and Giacconi. Harvard Univ Press. ISBN: 0-674-96285-0
(page 99 has references to SS Cygni type stars, which SS 433 is of that type).

'COSMOS', by Carl Sagan. Page 242. ISBN: 0-394-50294-9

'STARS - Voyage through the universe', by TIME-LIFE books. Reference page: 120, lower left corner. ISBN: 0-8094-6858-1

Well.... that's the only references I could find in 20 minutes. But suffice it to say.... there is PLENTY of REAL information about this object.

Cheers!

The first part of the message is from Pete Porro second part Gregg Gillis. It's a canned answer because the question keeps coming up. I wish I had a whole disc of stock answers explaining where to start on investigation of <blank>. Hopes this explains the name, and where you might find more.

--

Pete Porro - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG (Pete Porro)
Subject: Re: SERIOS BUSINESS
Date: 5 Apr 91 16:49:00 GMT

Arthur C. Clark pretty well says this was a con job, using the tube for holding small parts of photos. I have not read the book, so my answer is only a reference to someone who I have seen accept some very unusual occurrences, and still be able to say some things are probably hoaxes. He did a whole show on mysterious photos. It's shown on the Discovery Channel from time to time, Thursday evenings at 7pm (cst) and repeats on Saturday. I record all the shows because they air at the same time as "The Simpsons" and I can't miss that! 8*)

--

Pete Porro - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Pete.Porro@f414.n154.z1.FIDONET.ORG

From: eniac.seas.upenn.edu!snelson
Subject: Human visitors
Date: 7 Apr 91 08:19:13 GMT

From: snelson@eniac.seas.upenn.edu (Steven Nelson)

Why are the visitors so humanlike? Given the complexity of the evolutionary process, the odds that aliens would have such a human shape as is commonly reported seems rather implausible. Parallel evolution adapts creatures that live in similar environments to similar shapes, yet certainly there's no reason to assume that our planet and any alien planet would be remotely similar.

I hold out, at present, no explanations. I would not invalidate the phenomenon based on this point, but I find that this datum, which many researchers seem to ignore, is essential to understanding the UFO phenomenon.

I use Whitley Streiber's term 'visitors' simply because it is less committal than the term 'aliens.'

Does anyone have any comments?

-Steve

From: Charles.Mcelhinney@f70.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Charles Mcelhinney)
Subject: Re: SERIOS BUSINESS
Date: 6 Apr 91 14:19:00 GMT

PP> Arthur C. Clark pretty well says this was a con job, using
PP> the tube for holding small parts of photos. I have not read
PP> the book, so my answer is only a reference to someone who I
PP> have seen accept some very unusual occurrences, and still be
PP> able to say some things are probably hoaxes. He did a whole
PP> show on mysterious photos. It's shown on the Discovery

I've seen Clarke's show also and have read a few of his books. On the show, he comes across as quite the skeptic. In fact, everything on that show he tries his best to blow out of the water. However, he is a great and unusual man who writes a very good story.

--

Charles Mcelhinney - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Charles.Mcelhinney@f70.n1010.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@f4.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Re: Mail Problems
Date: 5 Apr 91 22:13:00 GMT

Elizabeth, thanks for the re-post!

Actually, the problem had nothing to do with your message -- it had to do with MY software, which turned your message into hamburger.

So thanks again for the info -- I'll let you know the info I glean.

Best,
Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@f4.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@f4.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Re: A SETI Update
Date: 6 Apr 91 00:19:00 GMT

va>Silvio Conte (R-MA): 'We don't need to spend \$6 million this year
va>to find evidence of these rascally creatures. We only need 75
va>cents to buy a tabloid at the local supermarket. Conclusive
va>evidence of these crafty critters can be found at checkout
va>counters from coast to coast. [Mr. Conte then entered into the
va>Congressional Record five articles on UFOs from tabloid
va>publications.]

How amusing for him! Of course, Conte became greatly exercised the following week, when the media called his attempted murder of the NASA SETI appropriation "The Search For Congressional Intelligence".

Still you can't blame Silvio -- \$6 million spent unraveling the secrets of the universe is \$6 million that won't be here to pay for Congressional junkets...

va>I had heard Conte was unfriendly to the concept, but I
va>didn't know it was
va>this bad. But didn't he die a couple months ago?

... only from the neck up.

Why are politicians always such self-serving poltroons?

Best,
Clark

--

Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@f4.n1012.z9.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: ''The Search For Schroedinger's Cat''
Date: 6 Apr 91 05:11:00 GMT

> If you want to read about other dimensions, try The Search for
> Schroedinger's Cat.
>
> And after you've done that, read the file REALITY.ASC from
> KeelyNet, on
> Bohm's holographic model of the universe and Pribram's
> holographic model of
> the mind. Excellent work! (Maybe Clark or I should post it,
> although it's
> not UFO-related. Comments?)
>

Jim, you're a mind-reader! I am planning to follow up on the
posting of the Gravity Coil with a posting of REALITY!

There was only limited response to the gravity coil post, but it was
scholarly & interesting. Bohm's paper should be at least as
provocative, eh?

Best,
Clark

--

Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Resonant Coil Implications?
Date: 6 Apr 91 05:23:00 GMT

> From: vanth!jms@amix.commodore.com (Jim Shaffer)
>
.....
> someone tell me exactly what can happen within the distorted
> space-time
> that this Resonant Coil produces? What are the implications?
.....
> antigravity field with this coil, everything I've heard seems
> to indicate
> that such a coil is supposed to be able to modify the
> fundamental
> characteristics of matter itself.

Well, not so much the fundamental *characteristics* of matter, just its *boundaries*. This is what I've been told by an experimenter. In other words, power up the coil and turn it off suddenly and the objects around the coil will be physically altered, possibly displaced.

I agree that the only real test of this device will be a documented test of the device. [...a quote from the guidelines of the Department of Redundancy Department]

Funding, plans, materials and a safe test space are needed to do this, and all of these issues are being addressed right now.

Stay *tuned*, Jim. :-)

Best,
Clark

--
Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Serios Business
Date: 6 Apr 91 05:33:00 GMT

>
> evidence for fraud, and many hundreds of photographs as
> evidence

> of Serios' 'talents.' One of the key evidential factors was
> the
> *distortions* of space and time in many of the photos --
> 'dream-like' or "imagination-like" distortions and seamless
> composite images that positively could not have been faked by
> any
> mechanical means under the circumstances. Also, many photos
> were
> made with the cameras at quite some distance from Serios, and
> in the
> hands of the investigators. Some of the pictures appeared as
> if
> taken from 'impossible' angles; from places where the cameras
> had
> never been positioned. There's more, too. *Much* more.
> Including a
> lot of pictures not previously published.
>

Hi! This message exchange is very interesting! I wonder, is anyone familiar with the collection of "ghost" or "spirit" photos in the possession of the Warrens of Monroe Connecticut? Yes, I'm talking about the same Warrens who were involved in the Amityville Horror fiasco, among other high-profile "hauntings", exorcisms, etc.

Some of their photos are really remarkable -- often they're "bad" drugstore-type pix taken by people who later realize how very strange the photos are. Many of the same characteristics of the Serios photos, including impossible angles, bizarre juxtaposed images, faces, etc.

I should add that the pictures range in age from 90 years or more to quite recent. Whatever you think about the Warrens' other interests ("demonology", possessions, etc.), the photos are definitely worth a look. They take a good sampling of them on their lecture tours.

Best,
Clark

--

Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

From: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG (Clark Matthews)
Subject: Re: Thanks, Jerry!
Date: 6 Apr 91 23:25:00 GMT


```
> CM> tell Elizabeth that I will contact Ft. Smith Chamber of
>                                     ^^^^^
>
> It is NOT Fort Smith!!!! It is Fort Simpson!!!! You confuse
> everybody if you write to the wrong chamber of commerce!!!
>
```

Well, in the words of America's elder statesman Richard Nixon, when confronted with the Watergate Tapes:

"You know what I said...but *I* know what I meant" :-)

Best,
Clark

--

Clark Matthews - via FidoNet node 1:104/422
UUCP: !scicom!paranet!User_Name
INTERNET: Clark.Matthews@paranet.FIDONET.ORG

*****To have your comments in the next issue, send electronic mail to*****
 'infopara' at the following address:

UUCP {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara
DOMAIN infopara@scicom.alphacdc.com
ADMIN Address infopara-request@scicom.alphacdc.com
 {ncar,isis,boulder}!scicom!infopara-request

*****The**End**of**Info-ParaNet**Newsletter*****